

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_ F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,261	10/14/2003		Frank D. Egitto	END92000181US2	4016
23122	7590	07/22/2004		EXAMINER	
RATNER			TRAN, THAO T		
	P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1711	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				¥
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/685,261	EGITTO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thao T. Tran	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 O</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 17-30 and 33 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-30 and 33 is/are we Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[_] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	• •	 .		
2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔀 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03.	4)		

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 17-24, drawn to a composite structure, classified in class 428, subclass421.
- II. Claims 25-30 and 33, drawn to a structure, classified in class 428, subclass 425.5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different in that Invention II is directed to a product-by-process structure comprising the step of converting an organo-silicon compound to silicon oxide; whereas Invention I to a product with no precursor of silicon oxide.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Kevin Casey on July 12, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 17-24.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,261

Art Unit: 1711

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 25-30 and 33 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 17-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Berger et al. (US Pat. 6,528,145)

The applied reference has a common Assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,261

Art Unit: 1711

Berger teaches a composite structure, comprising in order: a substrate 20; a sealing layer 32; a ceramic-filled polymeric layer 98; and surface layer 102. The sealing layer and surface layer can be made of polyimides or epoxy resins; and the ceramic filler can be SiO₂ (see Fig. 11; col. 6, ln. 48-49; col. 7, ln. 13-14; col. 9, ln. 33-43; col. 11, ln. 63 bridging col. 12, ln. 4). Berger teaches the composite structure further comprising an adhesive layer interposed between composite layers (see col. 9, ln. 65-67), the substrate being an integrated circuit board, and the composite structure is attached to a chip carrier (see col. 1, ln. 28-36).

9. Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vargo et al. (US Pat. 6,232,386).

Vargo teaches a composite structure, comprising a polymer layer 46 coated with a metal oxide layer 47, which in turn is bonded to another polymeric layer 48 (see Fig. 3; col. 35, ln. 57-63; col. 36, ln. 1-17). Vargo further teaches the polymers in layer 46 and layer 48 to be polyimides, polysiloxanes, polyalkylenes, or polyurethanes (see col. 7, ln. 53-65; col. 35, ln. 57-63) and the metal oxide to be silicon oxide (see col. 13, ln. 33, 46; col. 14, ln. 23); and that the surface of a polymer layer is treated with an organosilane coupling (adhesive layer) (see col. 28, ln. 32-48). Vargo further teaches the composite to be used to make electrical substrate materials for forming integrated circuit chip carriers (see col. 36, ln. 44-47; col. 36, ln. 66 bridging col. 37, ln. 6).

Art Unit: 1711

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tt

July 12, 2004

Thas Iran